

## Bell-Kochen-Specker theorem for 20 vectors

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1994 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 L829

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/27/21/007>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.68

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 22:57

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

**Bell–Kochen–Specker theorem for 20 vectors**

Michael Kernaghan

University of Western Ontario, London, Canada

Received 31 August 1994

**Abstract.** An example of the Bell–Kochen–Specker argument is given for 20 rays in four dimensions.

The Bell–Kochen–Specker theorem offers a simple proof that quantum mechanics contradicts the claim that individual constituents of an ensemble have ‘hidden values’ [1, 2]. The first explicit demonstration of the theorem [3] required 117 rays in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , but this has been reduced to 31 rays in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  [4] and 24 in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  [5]. In this letter, we provide an ensemble of 20 elements which demonstrates the theorem. The proof is a refinement of that of Peres [5].

In  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , select 20 rays through the origin. Let each ray be named by a coordinate  $x, y, z, w$ , but treat opposite directions as picking out the same ray. The claim that each ray may be consistently associated with a ‘hidden value’ of either one or zero is refutable in quantum mechanics.

To demonstrate the refutation, we first assume an assignment of ‘hidden values’ to a specified set of rays. Then, by invoking a simple rule of quantum mechanics, we derive a contradiction. The simple rule, derived by Penrose [6], is that given four mutually-orthogonal rays 1, 2, 3, 4 in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  (a four-clique), we may write  $f(1)+f(2)+f(3)+f(4) = 1$ , where  $f(\ )$  has value one or zero. Table 1 demonstrates a set of 20 vectors with 11 four-cliques for which the set of associated equations cannot be satisfied. We note in table 1 that the sum of the left-hand side is *odd*, yet, since each vector contributes either one or zero an *even* number of times to the sum of the right-hand side, the equations are not simultaneously consistent.

**Table 1.** Inconsistent equations derived from mutually-orthogonal rays. Each ray occurs twice or four times.

---

$1 = f(1, 0, 0, 0) + f(0, 1, 0, 0) + f(0, 0, 1, 0) + f(0, 0, 0, 1)$	
$1 = f(1, 0, 0, 0) + f(0, 1, 0, 0) + f(0, 0, 1, 1) + f(0, 0, 1, -1)$	
$1 = f(1, 0, 0, 0) + f(0, 0, 1, 0) + f(0, 1, 0, 1) + f(0, 1, 0, -1)$	
$1 = f(1, 0, 0, 0) + f(0, 0, 0, 1) + f(0, 1, 1, 0) + f(0, 1, -1, 0)$	
$1 = f(-1, 1, 1, 1) + f(1, -1, 1, 1) + f(1, 1, -1, 1) + f(1, 1, 1, -1)$	
$1 = f(-1, 1, 1, 1) + f(1, 1, -1, 1) + f(1, 0, 1, 0) + f(0, 1, 0, -1)$	
$1 = f(1, -1, 1, 1) + f(1, 1, -1, 1) + f(0, 1, 1, 0) + f(1, 0, 0, -1)$	
$1 = f(1, 1, -1, 1) + f(1, 1, 1, -1) + f(0, 0, 1, 1) + f(1, -1, 0, 0)$	
$1 = f(0, 1, -1, 0) + f(1, 0, 0, -1) + f(1, 1, 1, 1) + f(1, -1, -1, 1)$	
$1 = f(0, 0, 1, -1) + f(1, -1, 0, 0) + f(1, 1, 1, 1) + f(1, 1, -1, -1)$	
$1 = f(1, 0, 1, 0) + f(0, 1, 0, 1) + f(1, 1, -1, -1) + f(1, -1, -1, 1)$	

---

There are 192 distinct sets of 11 equations derivable from subsets of Peres' 24 ray set [5]. However, none of the latter is a subset of Penrose's 'dodecahedron' [6].

I would like to extend my appreciation to Professor Asher Peres for important assistance with the simplification of the proof.

### References

- [1] Mermin D 1993 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **65** 803
- [2] Bell J S 1966 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **38** 447
- [3] Kochen S and Specker E P 1967 *J. Math. Mech.* **17** 59
- [4] Kochen S and Conway J unpublished (cf [5] p 114)
- [5] Peres A 1993 *Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods* (Dordrecht: Kluwer) p 201
- [6] Zimba J and Penrose R *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Science* **24** 697